

Exact self-gravitating N -body motion in the CGHS model

Won Tae Kim* and Edwin J. Son†

Department of Physics and Basic Science Research Institute,

Sogang University, C.P.O. Box 1142, Seoul 100-611, Korea

(February 1, 2008)

Abstract

In the asymptotically flat two-dimensional dilaton gravity, we present an N -body particle action which has a dilaton coupled mass term for the exact solubility. This gives nonperturbative exact solutions for the N -body self-gravitating system, so the infalling particles form a black hole and their trajectories are exactly described. In our two-dimensional case, the critical mass for the formation of black holes does not exist, so even a single particle forms a black hole, which means that we can treat many black holes. The infalling particles give additional time-like singularities in addition to the space-like black hole singularity. However, the latter singularities can be properly cloaked by the future horizons within some conditions.

PACS : 04.20.Jb, 04.60.Kz

Typeset using REVTeX

*electronic address: wtkim@ccs.sogang.ac.kr

†electronic address: sopp@string.sogang.ac.kr

There has been much interests in two-dimensional dilaton gravities [1] as toy models or a spherical symmetric reduction of some higher-dimensional gravities because they have most of the interesting properties of the four-dimensional gravity theories even though they are much simpler than the original ones [2–4]. In these works, the conformal fields play an important role for the exact solubility. On the other hand, if point particles as a matter source are considered, then it would be worthwhile for studying the galactic evolution and star systems [5]. Recently, the N -body self-gravitating system in the Jackiw-Teitelboim(JT) [6] gravity has been studied and the closed solutions are obtained [7], furthermore, the explicit expressions are derived for the two equal mass particles. In this case, the spacetime outside the moving matter is not flat, in which the scalar curvature is constant.

So, one may think that the N -particle self-gravitating system for which the asymptotic spacetime is flat. It would be interesting to obtain nonperturbative exact solutions for this case, which is not yet known. At first sight, it seems to be easily realized by the Callan-Giddings-Harvey-Strominger (CGHS) model [1] coupled to the N -particles. However, obtaining exact solutions is generically difficult for the massive particles.

In this paper, we are concerned with a slightly modified particle action to obtain the exact soluble model, which gives a remarkable simple N -body self-gravitating solutions in the CGHS model. The infalling particles form a black hole in the latest time and their trajectories are exactly obtained. In the CGHS model, the critical mass [2,8,9] for the formation of black holes does not exist, which means that the point particles themselves become black holes although the infalling mass is even small. Therefore, in our case, a single particle with a time-like curvature singularity becomes a single black hole with a space-like curvature singularity as time goes on. Intriguingly, if we consider N -infalling massive particles, then the particles become N -black holes, eventually, the combined holes appear as a larger black hole. Finally, the cosmic censorship is still valid by the future horizons within some conditions.

We begin with the dilaton gravity action [1] with the following N -massive point particle action in two dimensions,

$$S = S_{DG} + S_P, \quad (1)$$

$$S_{DG} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2x \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} \left[R + 4(\nabla\phi)^2 + 4\lambda^2 \right], \quad (2)$$

$$S_P = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^N \int d^2x \int d\tau_a \delta^2(x - z_a(\tau_a)) e_a(\tau_a) \left[e_a^{-2}(\tau_a) g_{\mu\nu}(x) \frac{dz_a^\mu}{d\tau_a} \frac{dz_a^\nu}{d\tau_a} - m_a^2 e^{-2\alpha\phi(x)} \right], \quad (3)$$

where g and ϕ are the metric and the dilaton field, and λ^2 is a cosmological constant. Note that e_a and z_a are the einbeins and the coordinates for the N -particles, respectively. The action of the original massive particle corresponding to $\alpha = 0$ will be modified to obtain the exact geometry and the trajectories of the massive particles. For $\alpha = 0$, in the fixed background geometry, the particle trajectory was studied in Ref. [10]. However, in this case, the self-gravitating system was not realized because of some lack of global symmetry for the massive particles. From the previous work [11], the kink coupled to the two-dimensional dilaton gravity has been exactly solved, so we now take $\alpha = 1$ for the exact solubility in our particle case.

From the action (1), the equations of motion for the metric, dilaton, einbeins, and the coordinates are given as

$$2e^{-2\phi} \left[\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi - g_{\mu\nu} \left(\square\phi - (\nabla\phi)^2 + \lambda^2 \right) \right] = T_{\mu\nu}^P, \quad (4)$$

$$e^{-2\phi} \left[R - 4(\nabla\phi)^2 + 4\square\phi + 4\lambda^2 \right] - \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{-g}} \sum_a \int d\tau_a \delta^2(x - z_a) e_a m_a^2 e^{-2\phi} = 0, \quad (5)$$

$$e_a^{-2} g_{\mu\nu}(z_a) \frac{dz_a^\mu}{d\tau_a} \frac{dz_a^\nu}{d\tau_a} + m_a^2 e^{-2\phi(z_a)} = 0, \quad (6)$$

$$g_{\mu\nu}(z_a) \frac{d}{d\tau_a} \left(e_a^{-1} \frac{dz_a^\nu}{d\tau_a} \right) + \Gamma_{\mu\alpha\beta}(z_a) e_a^{-1} \frac{dz_a^\alpha}{d\tau_a} \frac{dz_a^\beta}{d\tau_a} - m_a^2 e_a e^{-2\phi(z_a)} \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z_a^\mu} = 0, \quad (7)$$

respectively, where the energy-momentum tensors due to the point masses are written as

$$T_{\mu\nu}^P = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{-g}} \sum_a \int d\tau_a \delta^2(x - z_a) e_a^{-1} g_{\mu\alpha} g_{\nu\beta} \frac{dz_a^\alpha}{d\tau_a} \frac{dz_a^\beta}{d\tau_a}. \quad (8)$$

Note that the N -particles are explicitly labelled as $a = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

Combining eqs. (4) and (5) yields

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-2\phi} [R + 2\square\phi] &= \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{-g}} \sum_a \int d\tau_a \delta^2(x - z_a) e_a \left[e_a^{-2} g_{\mu\nu} \frac{dz_a^\mu}{d\tau_a} \frac{dz_a^\nu}{d\tau_a} + m_a^2 e^{-2\phi} \right] \\ &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

which nicely vanishes by using the einbein equations of motion (6). It is crucial to obtain the exact geometry and particle trajectories without any approximations.

In the conformal gauge defined by $g_{+-} = -\frac{1}{2}e^{2\rho}$, $g_{--} = g_{++} = 0$, where $x^\pm = (x^0 \pm x^1)$, the above equations of motion and the constraints are written as

$$2e^{-2\phi} \left[2\partial_+\phi\partial_-\phi - \partial_+\partial_-\phi + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 e^{2\rho} \right] = T_{+-}^P, \quad (10)$$

$$8e^{-2(\rho+\phi)} \left[\partial_+\partial_-\rho + 2\partial_+\phi\partial_-\phi - 2\partial_+\partial_-\phi + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 e^{2\rho} \right] - 2\pi e^{-2\rho} \sum_a \int d\tau_a \delta^2(x - z_a) e_a m_a^2 e^{-2\phi} = 0, \quad (11)$$

$$e_a^{-2} e^{2\rho(z_a)} \frac{dz_a^+}{d\tau_a} \frac{dz_a^-}{d\tau_a} - m_a^2 e^{-2\phi(z_a)} = 0, \quad (12)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\tau_a} \left(e_a^{-1} \frac{dz_a^\pm}{d\tau_a} \right) + 2e_a^{-1} \frac{\partial\rho(z_a)}{\partial z_a^\pm} \frac{dz_a^\pm}{d\tau_a} \frac{dz_a^\pm}{d\tau_a} + 2m_a^2 e_a e^{-2(\rho+\phi)(z_a)} \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z_a^\mp} = 0, \quad (13)$$

and

$$2e^{-2\phi} [\partial_\pm \partial_\pm \phi - 2\partial_\pm \rho \partial_\pm \phi] = T_{\pm\pm}^P, \quad (14)$$

where the energy-momentum tensors are

$$T_{\pm\pm}^P = 2\pi e^{-2\rho} \sum_a \int d\tau_a \delta^2(x - z_a) e_a^{-1} \frac{e^{4\rho}}{4} \frac{dz_a^\mp}{d\tau_a} \frac{dz_a^\mp}{d\tau_a}, \quad (15)$$

$$T_{+-}^P = 2\pi e^{-2\rho} \sum_a \int d\tau_a \delta^2(x - z_a) e_a^{-1} \frac{e^{4\rho}}{4} \frac{dz_a^+}{d\tau_a} \frac{dz_a^-}{d\tau_a}. \quad (16)$$

Note that eq. (16) shows that the matter is no more conformal, while for the massless case it vanishes with the help of eq. (12).

The key ingredient of the exact solubility is due to eq. (9) written as in the conformal gauge

$$\partial_+ \partial_- (\rho - \phi) = 0, \quad (17)$$

and then the residual symmetry can be fixed by choosing $\rho = \phi$ in the Kruskal gauge.

For the sake of convenience, we now reparametrize as $m_a e_a d\tau_a = d\lambda_a$, then eqs. (12) and (13) become

$$\frac{dz_a^+}{d\lambda_a} \frac{dz_a^-}{d\lambda_a} - e^{-4\rho(z_a)} = 0 \quad (18)$$

and

$$\frac{d^2 z_a^\pm}{d\lambda_a^2} + 2 \frac{\partial \rho(z_a)}{\partial z_a^\pm} \frac{dz_a^\pm}{d\lambda_a} \frac{dz_a^\pm}{d\lambda_a} + 2e^{-4\rho(z_a)} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z_a^\mp} = 0, \quad (19)$$

in the Kruskal gauge, respectively.

Then we get the following first order differential equation by integrating eq. (19) by using eq. (18),

$$\frac{dz_a^\pm}{d\lambda_a} = A_a^{(\pm)} e^{-2\rho(z_a)}, \quad (20)$$

where $A_a^{(\pm)}$ are integration constants, and we choose $A_a^{(\pm)} > 0$ to make $z_a^\pm$ be increasing functions with respect to λ_a . Furthermore, substituting eq. (20) into eq. (18), we get $A_a^{(+)} A_a^{(-)} = 1$. Simply rewriting the relation (20) between z_a^+ and z_a^- as

$$\frac{1}{A_a^{(+)}} \frac{dz_a^+}{d\lambda_a} = \frac{1}{A_a^{(-)}} \frac{dz_a^-}{d\lambda_a} = e^{-2\rho(z_a)}, \quad (21)$$

the trajectories of the particles are given as straight lines,

$$z_a^+ = (A_a^{(+)})^2 (z_a^- + B_a), \quad (22)$$

where B_a 's are taken as positive integration constants since we shall consider only the space-time in the region of $x^+ > 0$ and $x^- < 0$.

Now we explicitly calculate the energy-momentum tensors (15) and (16) by integrating with respect to τ_a . After reparametrizing τ_a as λ_a , T_{++}^P is integrated as

$$\begin{aligned} T_{++}^P &= \frac{\pi}{2} e^{-2\rho(x)} \sum_a m_a \int d\lambda_a \delta(x^+ - z_a^+(\lambda_a)) \delta(x^- - z_a^-(\lambda_a)) e^{4\rho(z_a)} \left(\frac{dz_a^-}{d\lambda_a} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_a \int d\lambda_a \delta(x^+ - z_a^+(\lambda_a)) F_a^{(+)}(\lambda_a) \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_a \frac{1}{|dz_a^+/d\lambda_a|} F_a^{(+)}(\lambda_a) \Big|_{x^+ = z_a^+(\lambda_a^{(+)})}, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where $F_a^{(+)} = m_a \delta(x^- - z_a^-(\lambda_a)) e^{2\rho(z_a)} \left(\frac{dz_a^-}{d\lambda_a} \right)^2$.

Next by using eqs. (20) and (22), it is explicitly calculated as

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{++}^P &= \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_a m_a \frac{(A_a^{(-)})^2}{A_a^{(+)}} \delta(x^- - z_a^-(\lambda_a^{(+)})) \\
&= \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_a m_a \frac{1}{(A_a^{(+)})^3} \delta \left(x^- - \frac{z_a^+(\lambda_a^{(+)})}{(A_a^{(+)})^2} + B_a \right) \\
&= \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_a m_a \frac{1}{(A_a^{(+)})^3} \delta \left(\frac{x^+}{(A_a^{(+)})^2} - x^- - B_a \right). \tag{24}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the relation $x^+ = z_a^+(\lambda_a^{(+)})$ was used.

On the other hand, one might wonder if we integrate $\delta(x^- - z_a^-)$ instead of $\delta(x^+ - z_a^+)$ in the first line of eq. (24), then the similar calculation yields the same result,

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{++}^P &= \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_a \int d\lambda_a \delta(x^- - z_a^-(\lambda_a)) F_a^{(-)}(\lambda_a) \\
&= \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_a \frac{1}{|dz_a^-/d\lambda_a|} F_a^{(-)}(\lambda_a) \Big|_{x^- = z_a^-(\lambda_a^{(-)})} \\
&= \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_a m_a \frac{1}{(A_a^{(+)})^3} \delta \left(\frac{x^+}{(A_a^{(+)})^2} - x^- - B_a \right), \tag{25}
\end{aligned}$$

where $F_a^{(-)} = m_a \delta(x^+ - z_a^+(\lambda_a)) e^{2\rho(z_a)} \left(\frac{dz_a^-}{\lambda_a} \right)^2$. Here, $\lambda_a^{(\pm)}$'s satisfy

$$z_a^\pm(\lambda_a^{(\pm)}) = x^\pm. \tag{26}$$

Therefore, the two expressions (24) and (25) derived from different integration steps are coincident.

Similarly, the other energy-momentum tensors in the Kruskal gauge can be obtained in this way, which are compactly written as

$$T_{++}^P = \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_a m_a \frac{1}{(A_a^{(+)})^3} \delta \left(\frac{x^+}{(A_a^{(+)})^2} - x^- - B_a \right), \tag{27}$$

$$T_{--}^P = \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_a m_a A_a^{(+)} \delta \left(\frac{x^+}{(A_a^{(+)})^2} - x^- - B_a \right), \tag{28}$$

$$T_{+-}^P = \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_a \frac{m_a}{A_a^{(+)}} \delta \left(\frac{x^+}{(A_a^{(+)})^2} - x^- - B_a \right). \tag{29}$$

Now integrating eq. (10) with the energy-momentum tensor (29), we obtain the metric solution,

$$e^{-2\rho} = -\lambda^2 x^+ x^- + a_+(x^+) + a_-(x^-) - \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_a m_a A_a^{(+)} \left(\frac{x^+}{(A_a^{(+)})^2} - x^- - B_a \right) \theta \left(\frac{x^+}{(A_a^{(+)})^2} - x^- - B_a \right), \quad (30)$$

where $a_{\pm}(x^{\pm})$ are integration functions which are determined by the constraints (14),

$$\partial_{\pm} \partial_{\pm} a_{\pm}(x^{\pm}) = 0. \quad (31)$$

Note that the functions $a_{\pm}(x^{\pm})$ in our case are simply harmonic, while for the case of the conformal fields they are determined by the conformal sources [1].

Integrating eq. (31), we obtain the solution of geometry for the case of massive particles,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-2\rho} &= -\lambda^2 x^+ x^- + \lambda C_- x^+ + \lambda C_+ x^- + D \\ &\quad - \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_a m_a A_a^{(+)} \left(\frac{x^+}{(A_a^{(+)})^2} - x^- - B_a \right) \theta \left(\frac{x^+}{(A_a^{(+)})^2} - x^- - B_a \right) \\ &= -\lambda^2 \left(x^+ - \frac{h^{(+)}}{\lambda} \right) \left(x^- + \frac{h^{(-)}}{\lambda} \right) + \frac{M}{\lambda}, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where C_+ , C_- , and D are integration constants, and $h^{(+)} = C_+ + \frac{\pi}{2\lambda} \sum_a m_a A_a^{(+)} \theta(\frac{x^+}{(A_a^{(+)})^2} - x^- - B_a)$, $h^{(-)} = -C_- + \frac{\pi}{2\lambda} \sum_a \frac{m_a}{A_a^{(+)}} \theta(\frac{x^+}{(A_a^{(+)})^2} - x^- - B_a)$, and $M = \lambda D - \lambda h^{(+)} h^{(-)} + \frac{\lambda\pi}{2} \sum_a m_a A_a^{(+)} B_a \theta(\frac{x^+}{(A_a^{(+)})^2} - x^- - B_a)$, and $\theta(x)$ is 1 for $x > 0$ and 0 for $x < 0$. Then we require the linear dilaton vacuum (LDV) boundary condition in the region that $x^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ and $x^- \rightarrow 0^-$ where there are no particles. Then the constants $C_{\pm}$ and D are fixed to zero. From the condition of $\partial_+ \rho = 0$, the horizon curve is obtained as

$$-\lambda x^- = h^{(-)}. \quad (33)$$

Now the mass function M is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \frac{\lambda\pi}{2} \sum_a m_a A_a^{(+)} B_a \theta \left(\frac{x^+}{(A_a^{(+)})^2} - x^- - B_a \right) - \lambda h^{(+)} h^{(-)} \\ &= \frac{\lambda\pi}{2} \sum_a m_a A_a^{(+)} \theta \left(\frac{x^+}{(A_a^{(+)})^2} - x^- - B_a \right) \\ &\quad \times \left[B_a - \frac{\pi}{2\lambda^2} \sum_b \frac{m_b}{A_b^{(+)}} \theta \left(\frac{x^+}{(A_b^{(+)})^2} - x^- - B_b \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

The mass M increases as time goes on such that in the latest time the total mass M_T becomes

$$M_T = \frac{\lambda\pi}{2} \sum_a m_a A_a^{(+)} \left[B_a - \frac{\pi}{2\lambda^2} \sum_b \frac{m_b}{A_b^{(+)}} \right], \quad (35)$$

where the following condition is required to make M_T positive definite,

$$\sum_a m_a A_a^{(+)} B_a > \frac{\pi}{2\lambda^2} \sum_{a,b} m_a m_b \frac{A_a^{(+)}}{A_b^{(+)}}. \quad (36)$$

To investigate the curvature singularities, we now calculate the curvature scalar R ,

$$\begin{aligned} R &= 8e^{-2\rho} \partial_+ \partial_- \rho \\ &= \frac{4\lambda M}{\frac{M}{\lambda} - \lambda^2(x^+ - \frac{h^{(+)}}{\lambda})(x^- + \frac{h^{(-)}}{\lambda})} - 2\pi \sum_a \frac{m_a}{A_a^{(+)}} \delta \left(\frac{x^+}{(A_a^{(+)})^2} - x^- - B_a \right), \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

which reads curvature singularity curves,

$$\lambda^2 \left(x^+ - \frac{h^{(+)}}{\lambda} \right) \left(x^- + \frac{h^{(-)}}{\lambda} \right) = \frac{M}{\lambda}, \quad (38)$$

$$x^+ - (A_a^{(+)})^2 (x^- + B_a) = 0. \quad (39)$$

Note that the infalling particles form the black hole which have curvature singularity similarly to the original CGHS model coupled to the conformal fields. In our case, the crucial difference appears in the additional singularities which come from the particles themselves. In this regard, the particles may be interpreted as black holes since even a single particle can collapse to the black hole with the event horizon in our model, which is easily seen from the above whole equations by simply setting $N = 1$. The N -particles eventually form a larger black hole.

Let us now check whether the singularity curves (38) and (39) are naked or not. The first curve (38) from the curvature singularities is naturally cloaked by the horizon (33), which satisfies the cosmic censorship scenario. As for the other time-like curve, from eqs. (39) and (33), we can require $h^{(-)} > \lambda B_a$ in the latest time, in order to make the singularity curve completely shielded by the horizon curve (33), *i.e.*,

$$B_a < \frac{\pi}{2\lambda^2} \sum_b \frac{m_b}{A_b^{(+)}}. \quad (40)$$

So all the singularities seem to be cloaked inside the horizon. However, this condition (40) makes the total mass (35) be negative. Therefore, as far as we require the total mass to be

positive, we find that the naked singularities partially appear. These naked singularities are time-like compatible with the particle trajectories.

Next, we obtain the particle trajectories in terms of the parameter λ_a by substituting eq. (32) into eq. (20),

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dz_a^-}{d\lambda_a} &= A_a^{(-)} \left[-\lambda^2 \left(z_a^+ - \frac{h_a^{(+)}}{\lambda} \right) \left(z_a^- + \frac{h_a^{(-)}}{\lambda} \right) + \frac{M_a}{\lambda} \right] \\ &= -\lambda^2 A_a^{(+)} \left(z_a^- + B_a - \frac{h_a^{(+)}}{\lambda(A_a^{(+)})^2} \right) \left(z_a^- + \frac{h_a^{(-)}}{\lambda} \right) + A_a^{(-)} \frac{M_a}{\lambda},\end{aligned}\quad (41)$$

where we used eq. (22) and $h_a^{(+)} = \frac{\pi}{2\lambda} \sum_b m_b A_b^{(+)} \theta(z_a^+/(A_b^{(+)})^2 - z_a^- - B_b)$, $h_a^{(-)} = \frac{\pi}{2\lambda} \sum_b \frac{m_b}{A_b^{(+)}} \theta(z_a^+/(A_b^{(+)})^2 - z_a^- - B_b)$, and $M_a = \frac{\lambda\pi}{2} \sum_b m_b A_b^{(+)} B_b \theta(z_a^+/(A_b^{(+)})^2 - z_a^- - B_b) - \lambda h_a^{(+)} h_a^{(-)}$. Rearranging this equation, we get

$$\frac{dz_a^-}{d\lambda_a} = -\lambda^2 A_a^{(+)} \left[\left(z_a^- + \zeta_a \right)^2 - \xi_a^2 \right], \quad (42)$$

where we define $\zeta_a = \frac{\lambda B_a - h_a^{(+)}/(A_a^{(+)})^2 + h_a^{(-)}}{2\lambda}$ and $\xi_a^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{h_a^{(+)}}{\lambda(A_a^{(+)})^2} + \frac{h_a^{(-)}}{\lambda} - B_a \right)^2 + \frac{M_a}{\lambda^3(A_a^{(+)})^2}$, and take $\xi_a > 0$. We can divide the worldline of a particular particle from worldlines of other particles. In each region, we can think $h_a^{(+)}$, $h_a^{(-)}$, and M_a as constants, so ζ_a and ξ_a also can be thought as constants. We represent these as ζ_{ai} and ξ_{ai} , where i is an index for regions.

We now parametrize $z_a^- + \zeta_{ai} = \xi_{ai} \tanh \eta_{ai}$ for $|z_a^- + \zeta_{ai}| < \xi_{ai}$ and $z_a^- + \zeta_{ai} = \xi_{ai} \coth \eta_{ai}$ for $|z_a^- + \zeta_{ai}| > \xi_{ai}$. First, if $|z_a^- + \zeta_{ai}| < \xi_{ai}$, then $dz_a^- = \xi_{ai} \text{sech}^2 \eta_{ai} d\eta_{ai}$, and eq. (42) is written as

$$\frac{\xi_{ai} \text{sech}^2 \eta_{ai}}{\xi_{ai}^2 (\tanh^2 \eta_{ai} - 1)} \frac{d\eta_{ai}}{d\lambda_a} = -\lambda^2 A_a^{(+)}. \quad (43)$$

Thus we obtain the solution of particle motion for $|z_a^- + \zeta_{ai}| < \xi_{ai}$,

$$z_a^- = \xi_{ai} \tanh \eta_{ai} - \zeta_{ai} = \xi_{ai} \tanh \left[\lambda^2 A_a^{(+)} \xi_{ai} (\lambda_a - \Lambda_{ai}) \right] - \zeta_{ai}, \quad (44)$$

where Λ_{ai} is a constant of integration. Then from (20), z_a^+ becomes

$$z_a^+ = (A_a^{(+)})^2 \left[\xi_{ai} \tanh \left(\lambda^2 A_a^{(+)} \xi_{ai} (\lambda_a - \Lambda_{ai}) \right) + B_a - \zeta_{ai} \right]. \quad (45)$$

Eqs. (44) and (45) are desirable increasing functions with respect to λ_a .

Next, if $|z_a^- + \zeta_{ai}| > \xi_{ai}$, then $dz_a^- = -\xi_{ai} \text{csch}^2 \eta_{ai} d\eta_{ai}$, eq. (42) is written as

$$-\frac{\xi_{ai} \text{csch}^2 \eta_{ai}}{\xi_{ai}^2 (\coth^2 \eta_{ai} - 1)} \frac{d\eta_{ai}}{d\lambda_a} = -\lambda^2 A_a^{(+)}. \quad (46)$$

Thus we obtain the solution of particle motion for $|z_a^- + \zeta_{ai}| > \xi_{ai}$,

$$z_a^- = \xi_{ai} \coth \eta_{ai} - \zeta_{ai} = \xi_{ai} \coth \left[\lambda^2 A_a^{(+)} \xi_{ai} (\lambda_a - \Lambda_{ai}^{(1)}) \right] - \zeta_{ai}, \quad (47)$$

where $\Lambda_{ai}^{(1)}$ is a constant of integration. But this is not a suitable solution, because it is a decreasing function with respect to λ_a . Thus we require a restriction, $|z_a^- + \zeta_{ai}| < \xi_{ai}$.

We have considered CGHS model coupled to modified massive N -particles and obtained the exact solutions. The einbein formulation of the action (1) gives a convenient massless limit. This is a conformal particle case which is very similar to the original CGHS model. From now on, we shall present briefly the results for the massless case.

We reparametrize $e_a d\tau_a = d\lambda_a$ for the massless case, then eqs. (12) and (13) become

$$e^{2\rho(z_a)} \frac{dz_a^+}{d\lambda_a} \frac{dz_a^-}{d\lambda_a} = 0 \quad (48)$$

and

$$\frac{d^2 z_a^\pm}{d\lambda_a^2} + 2 \frac{\partial \rho(z_a)}{\partial z_a^\pm} \frac{dz_a^\pm}{d\lambda_a} \frac{dz_a^\pm}{d\lambda_a} = 0, \quad (49)$$

in the Kruskal gauge, respectively. As easily seen in eq. (48), one is an infalling case, $\frac{dz_a^+}{d\lambda_a} = 0$, and the other is outgoing one, $\frac{dz_a^-}{d\lambda_a} = 0$. However, we shall consider only the ingoing mode as the infalling particles.

Integrating eq. (49) gives

$$\frac{dz_a^-}{d\lambda_a} = A_a e^{-2\rho(z_a)}, \quad (50)$$

where $A_a = e^{\text{const.}} > 0$ is a integration constant.

The energy-momentum tensors (15) and (16) are simply reduced to

$$T_{++}^P = \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_a A_a \delta(x^+ - z_a^+), \quad (51)$$

$$T_{--}^P = 0 = T_{+-}^P, \quad (52)$$

where we used the relation (50) and z_a^+ 's are constants of motion which are fixed by initial conditions. Next, integrating the gravity equation (10) and constraints (14) with the energy-momentum tensor (51) and (52), the geometric solution up to constant translations of x is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-2\phi} &= e^{-2\rho} \\
&= -\lambda^2 x^+ x^- - \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_a A_a (x^+ - z_a^+) \theta(x^+ - z_a^+) \\
&= -\lambda^2 x^+ \left(x^- + \frac{h^{(-)}}{\lambda} \right) + \frac{M}{\lambda},
\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

by considering the boundary condition of linear dilaton vacuum (LDV). Note that $h^{(-)} = \frac{\pi}{2\lambda} \sum_a A_a \theta(x^+ - z_a^+)$, $M = \frac{\lambda\pi}{2} \sum_a A_a z_a^+ \theta(x^+ - z_a^+)$ which is positive definite. After all particles collapsed in the latest time, the total mass becomes $M_T = \frac{\lambda\pi}{2} \sum_a A_a z_a^+$. The horizon curve is also defined as $-\lambda x^- = h^{(-)}$. On the other hand, the curvature is written as $R = 4\lambda M / (\frac{M}{\lambda} - \lambda^2 x^+ (x^- + \frac{h^{(-)}}{\lambda}))$ which reads curvature singularity curve, $\lambda^2 x^+ (x^- + \frac{h^{(-)}}{\lambda}) = \frac{M}{\lambda}$.

If we consider only a single particle, *i.e.*, $N = 1$, then the metric solution, the horizon, and the mass formula are all the same with those of the CGHS model since the infalling source (51) is the familiar delta-functional source in the CGHS model. As for the difference of our model from the CGHS model, the location of the matter is essentially dynamical, which means that z_a^+ is a particle coordinate instead of a constant. However, for the massless limit, the solution of the particle coordinate is given as coincidentally a constant, so that the whole structure is the same with that of the CGHS model.

Similar to the case of massive particles, we have many regions separated by the worldlines of particles, $x^+ = z_a^+$. To make this explicit, by combining eqs. (50) and (53), the geodesic equation becomes

$$\frac{dz_a^-}{d\lambda_a} = -\lambda^2 A_a z_a^+ z_a^- - C_a, \tag{54}$$

where $C_a = \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_b A_a A_b (z_a^+ - z_b^+) \theta(z_a^+ - z_b^+) > 0$ is a constant. Integrating this equation, we obtain

$$|\lambda B_a z_a^- + C_a| = D_a e^{-\lambda B_a \lambda_a}, \quad (55)$$

where $B_a = A_a \lambda z_a^+$ and $D_a = e^{\text{const.}}$ are constants. Then the particle solutions are explicitly written as

$$-\lambda z_a^- = \begin{cases} \frac{D_a}{B_a} e^{-\lambda B_a \lambda_a} + \frac{C_a}{B_a}, & \text{for } -\lambda z_a^- > \frac{C_a}{B_a} \\ -\frac{D_a}{B_a} e^{-\lambda B_a \lambda_a} + \frac{C_a}{B_a}, & \text{for } -\lambda z_a^- < \frac{C_a}{B_a} \end{cases}, \quad (56)$$

where z_a^+ is constant and B_a , C_a , and D_a are all positive constants.

In the original CGHS model, it has been well appreciated that an infalling matter basically forms a black hole no matter how the energy of the infalling matter is small. This fact might be more or less unphysical, however, this feature enables us to study some of characteristic features of many black holes as a merit in a simple way. Of course, complicated collisions are still missing because of the dimensional simplicity. In our model, the particles can be interpreted as black holes and their motions are more or less trivial in that their trajectories are straight line in the Kruskal diagram. This triviality comes from the conformal factor in front of the mass term, which plays an important role of the exact solubility.

The final point to be mentioned is that the singularity of the curvature scalar (37) seems to be unusual, however, it is in fact expected result because the classical particles are regarded as points so that the particle radius is zero which is much less than the horizon. Therefore, the particles have curvature singularities from the classical point of view. In our work, the classical particles evolves into the black holes in a finite light cone time, and the corresponding time-like singularities are changed into the space-like curvature singularities. Of course, the time-like singularities partially appear in our spacetime, while the space-like singularity is completely cloaked by the event horizon.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Korea Research Foundation Grant, KRF-2001-015-DP0083.

REFERENCES

- [1] C.G. Callan, S.B. Giddings, J.A. Harvey, and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. D **45**, R1005 (1992).
- [2] J.G. Russo, L. Susskind, and L. Thorlacius, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 3444 (1992); Phys. Rev. D **47**, 533 (1993).
- [3] A. Bilal and C. Callan, Nucl. Phys. B **394**, 73 (1993).
- [4] S. B. Giddings, hep-th/9412138, A. Strominger, Les Houches Lectures on Black Holes, hep-th/9501071.
- [5] B.N. Miller and P. Youngkins, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 4794 (1998); K.R. Yawn and B.N. Miller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 3561 (1997).
- [6] R. Jackiw, Nucl. Phys. B **252**, 343 (1985); C. Teitelboim, Phys. Lett. B **126**, 41 (1983).
- [7] R.B. Mann, D. Robbins, and T. Ohta, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 3738 (1999); T. Ohta and R.B. Mann, Class. Quant. Grav. **13**, 2585 (1996); Phys. Rev. D **55**, 4723 (1997); Class. Quant. Grav. **14**, 1259 (1997).
- [8] T. Piran and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. D **48**, 4729 (1993).
- [9] A Strominger and L. Thorlacius, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 1584 (1994).
- [10] D.S. Hwang, Y. Kiem, and D. Park, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 4879 (1996).
- [11] S.-W. Kim and W.T. Kim, Phys. Lett. B **361**, 38 (1995).